		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
		General Management - GM	
1	Yes	Sharing services has been promoted for many years as a means to control costs. In addition to sharing resources such as labor, facilities and equipment with a county or with neighboring communities, shared services include similar agreements with school boards, independent authorities and fire districts. Shared services do not include cooperative purchasing, cooperative pricing or commodity resale agreements. Did your municipality actively negotiate (i.e. meet with representatives from a neighboring town, your county or another local unit) and/or enter into at least one new shared service agreement, or actively negotiate or enter into the renewal of at least one existing shared service agreement, in the preceding year?	
2	Yes	If a final judgment has been entered against the municipality and there is no further adjudication, or if the municipality reaches a final settlement, has your municipality satisfied its obligations under a final judgment or settlement in a timely fashion pursuant to their terms (e.g. tax appeals, tort claims, contractual disputes)? This question cannot be answered "Yes" if your municipality has satisfied a judgment or settlement but additional interest and/or other penalties have been imposed as a result of noncompliance with the terms thereof. This question does not apply to claims adjudicated or settled by the municipality's JIF or insurance carrier.	
3	Yes	Has your municipality adopted a vehicle use policy prohibiting personal use of municipal vehicles (except for commuting), and providing that employees authorized to use such vehicles for commuting to/from work have a fringe benefit value added to the gross income reported on the employee's W-2 (unless the vehicle meets the "qualified non-personal vehicle" criteria specified by the IRS)? Only answer "N/A" if your municipality does not have any municipally-owned vehicles.	
4	Yes	Has the appropriate administrative official reviewed the <u>State Comptroller's June 25, 2013</u> <u>Report</u> on local government legal fees, and does your municipality follow the best practices outlined in the checklist annexed as an Appendix to the report?	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
5	Yes	Does your municipality maintain an up-to-date municipal website containing at minimum the following: past three years adopted budgets; the current year's proposed budget (including the full adopted budget for the current year when approved by the governing body); most recent annual financial statement and audits; notification(s) for solicitation of bids and RFPs; and meeting dates, minutes and agendas for the governing body, planning board, board of adjustment and all commissions?	
6	Yes	Does your municipality require its elected officials to attend on an annual basis at least one instructional course covering the responsibilities and obligations of elected officials (for example: ethics, municipal finance, labor relations, risk management, shared services, purchasing, land use administration, personnel, technology etcetera)? This item may be satisfied either through a course approved for continuing education credit by DLGS, or inhouse education provided by a professional, vendor or staff member (provided they have significant expertise in their profession and routinely prepare public presentations).	
7	Yes	With regard to your municipality's collective bargaining agreements that replaced contracts expiring on or after 1/1/11, is the overall impact of the aggregate economic costs limited to an average increase of 2% or less per year over the contract term?	
8	Yes	A municipality's participation in FEMA's <u>National Flood Insurance Program Community Rating System</u> can lead to significant flood insurance premium reductions for its homeowners. An explanation of the program may be found on FEMA's website at <a href="http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program/national-flood-insurance-program-community-rating-system">http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program/national-flood-insurance-program-community-rating-system</a> , and more information on how the NJDEP's statewide CRS coordinator can assist with improving your rating can be found at <a href="http://www.nj.gov/dep/floodcontrol/about.htm">http://www.nj.gov/dep/floodcontrol/about.htm</a> . Does your municipality have, or is your <a href="municipality">municipality in the process of attaining</a> , a Community Rating System ranking of at least <a href="Class 9?">Class 9?</a>	Local OEM Coordinator is working closely with Ocean County and the State OEM organizations and the Township expects to have a class rating by the end of 2016.

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000	Answer	Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers  Question	Comments
9	N/A	If the ratio of assessed values to market values in your municipality is presently less than 65%, is your municipality in the process of conducting a reassessment or revaluation?	Current ratio is 94.36% for 2015. Last reassessment was effective in 2013.
10	Yes	The Local Government Ethics Law, designed to ensure transparency in government, requires local government officers to file Financial Disclosure Forms. Compliance by local elected officials is particularly important. Have all of your local elected officials filed their Financial Disclosure Form in 2015 that covers the 2014 calendar year?	
11	Yes	Many municipalities have created one or more authorities (including fire districts, utilities authorities, redevelopment authorities, housing authorities, port authorities, etc.) to provide greater focus and attention on addressing a public need, or to reduce governing body burdens. While creation of an authority is often appropriate, and many authorities successfully fulfill their missions, authorities with weak membership or insufficient local-level monitoring can become wasteful, inefficient and unresponsive to the public they serve. N.J.S.A. 40A:5A-20 allows a local governing body to dissolve an authority subject to certain parameters and with Local Finance Board approval. Municipalities should at least annually assess the authority or authorities they created and publicly discuss their findings and conclusions. Findings and conclusions should address whether the authority's continued existence is appropriate, and whether the authority is appropriately and efficiently serving its residents. Does the governing body meet at least once annually to discuss an assessment of the authority or authorities they have created?	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
		Finance & Audit - FA	
12	Yes	Internal accounting control processes, procedures and authorizations are designed to safeguard assets and to limit the risk of loss or misstatement. 1) Are internal accounting control processes, procedures and authorizations documented and communicated to staff; and 2) Does your Administrator/Manager or CFO, as appropriate, evaluate and discuss risk assessment annually with your governing body or an appropriate subcommittee thereof (such as the Audit or Finance Committee) with a focus on developing and updating accounting control processes, procedures and authorizations? If you selected "yes", please state in the Comment section in the approximate date of the discussion and whether the discussion was with the governing body or, if with a subcommittee thereof, name the subcommittee.	Internal Controls Procedures were reviewed and adopted by Resolution 2015-87 on 1/1/15. As issues arise, they are discussed with the Business Administrator.
13	N/A	In Local Finance Notice 2007-5, the Division issued guidance concerning the collection and accounting of fees by mayors for the performance of marriage and civil union ceremonies. If your municipality's mayor collects fees for performing weddings and civil unions, are the guidelines on pages 2 and 3 of LFN 2007-5 being followed?	Mayor does not perform weddings at this time. An ordinance will be done in the future if fees are to be collected.
14	Yes	Does your municipality have a Finance Committee (or equivalent) made up of one or more members of the governing body and other appropriate personnel, as may be needed, that meets at least <u>quarterly</u> and discusses all significant financial issues? <b>If you answer "Yes"</b> , phrase state in the Comment section the approximate date of your municipality's most recent Finance Committee meeting.	Last review of financial matters was with the entire governing body on 8/15/15. This fall the 2016 budget prep will commence which entails finance meetings.
15	Yes	Audit findings address areas needing improvement. Ignoring these findings devalues the process; therefore, municipalities should correct noted deficiencies. Have all audit findings from the 2013 audit been 1) identified in the corrective action plan and 2) addressed such that they are not repeated in the 2014 audit? If the answer is no, please list the repeat findings in the comments section. Only answer "N/A" if there were no audit findings in 2013. If your municipality wishes to appeal the result of this question, the Director shall determine based on the comment(s) whether the finding(s) is/are sufficiently material to warrant a "no" answer.	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
16	Yes	The CFO should be capable of preparing the annual financial statement, annual debt statement and budget schedules. Excessive auditor assistance on these documents could create a perception that the auditor is not truly independent of the client in auditing the client's financial statements. At a minimum, each CFO should prepare balanced and reconciled financial records including books of original entry, general ledgers, subsidiary ledgers and other computer reports that accurately analyze and reflect the municipality's financial position. These records should have sufficient detail for an accountant with sufficient knowledge of New Jersey's municipal accounting system to extract information necessary to prepare financial and debt statements. This requires that, within acceptable tolerance, all financial transactions (cash and non-cash) be posted in the general ledger and that all general ledger accounts be supported by subsidiary ledgers, reports, reconciliations or are otherwise analyzed. A "yes" answer is appropriate if 1) your CFO prepares the annual financial statement, annual debt statement and annual budget, or 2) your CFO presents balanced and reconciled financial records, or 3) you are retaining outside assistance to do so from an individual or entity separate from your municipality's audit firm. Please note that item #2 cannot count as a "yes" answer if the Report of Audit contains comments and recommendations regarding the General Ledger or Cash Account balances not being reconciled.	
17	Yes	Grant programs can create a significant burden on a municipality's cash flow if program expenses are either not timely reimbursed or are charged to other operating accounts instead of to the grant. Are all grant revenues, along with their corresponding appropriations, reviewed at least quarterly to determine that all program expenses have 1) been filed for reimbursement and 2) have been properly charged to the grant, with follow up communication to grantor agencies in instances where payments are delayed?	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
18	Yes	N.J.S.A. 40A:5-4 requires municipalities to complete their annual audit for the preceding fiscal year within 6 months after the close of its fiscal year. Further, N.J.S.A. 40A:5-6 requires the municipality's auditor to submit a certified duplicate copy of the audit report and recommendations with the Division within 5 days after filing the original with the municipal clerk. Has your municipality received its completed audit for the preceding fiscal year within the statutory timeframe, and confirmed that your auditor has filed a certified duplicate copy of the audit report with the Division? You may only answer this question "N/A" if the Director expressly granted an extension in response to a governing body resolution petitioning for same.	
19	Yes	For its most recent audit period completed, has the municipality: 1) <u>not</u> had findings reported in the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs related to potential or actual questioned costs; or 2) <u>not</u> accrued a liability or made payment to a grantor for questioned costs or disallowed expenditures; or 3) <u>not</u> been notified of an amount that must be refunded to a grantor as a result of a contract audit or for any other reason?	
20	Yes	Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A: 2-40, the chief financial officer each municipality shall, before the end of the first month of the fiscal year, file their Annual Debt Statement with the Division of Local Government Services. The annual debt statement must be filed electronically following the procedure described in Local Finance Notice 2013-3. Did your municipality file its electronic Annual Debt Statement for the preceding fiscal year with the Division no later than January 31 (July 31 for SFY municipalities)?	
21	Yes	Local Finance Notice 2014-09 contains important information about the need for municipalities that have certain outstanding debt to abide by requirements to annually disclose certain information with respect to financial conditions. The continuing financial disclosure obligations are required by federal law and local agreements executed as part of past issuances of debt. Failure to comply can result in penalties against local governments and individual officers responsible for various filings. Failure to comply can also result in a lack of access to capital markets. Has your CFO done all of the following: (1) reviewed Local Finance Notice 2014-09; and (2) undertaken, or caused to be undertaken, a review of past compliance with such requirements?	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
22	Yes	Is your municipality up to date and fully compliant with continuing disclosure obligations	Township only issues notes and has no serial
	100	as discussed in the previous question?	bonds.
		Procurement - P	
		Municipalities and their agencies are allowed to prohibit the award of public contracts to	
		business entities that have made certain campaign contributions exceeding \$300 and to	
		limit the contributions that the holders of a contract can make during the term of a	
23	Yes	contract to \$300. A model ordinance concerning pay-to-play can be found at	Ordinance 2013-02 models the State ordinance.
		http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/resources/muni_st_docs/ pay_to_play_ordinance-	
		contractor.doc. Has your municipality adopted a pay-to-play ordinance pursuant to N.J.S.A.	
		40A:11-51 that is more restrictive than state statutory requirements?	
		Pursuant to N.J.S.A. 52:15C-10(a), municipalities (among other government entities) must	
		notify the State Comptroller within no later than 20 business days of awarding most	
	N/A	contracts greater than \$2 million but less than \$10 million. For contracts \$10 million or	
		more, N.J.S.A. 52:15C-10(b) requires written notification to the State Comptroller of any	No contracts over \$2M.
24		negotiation or solicitation no later than 30 days before advertisement; from which point	
		the State Comptroller has 30 days to approve the procurement moving forward unless said	
		period is waived. Further information on the law and applicable forms is available on the	
		State Comptroller's website. Did your municipality comply with the notice and approval	
		provisions of N.J.S.A. 52:15C-10 in the prior year?	
		The Prompt Payment Law, enacted as P.L. 2006 c.96, establishes timing standards for the	
		payment of obligations under a wide range of construction-related contracts. The law	
25		seeks to ensure that contractors submitting bills for completed work are paid on a timely,	
		established schedule, and that the full chain of subcontractors receive timely payment	Purchasing Manual to be developed which will
	Prospective	from their hiring contractor. Local Finance Notice 2006-21 discusses the law and its	include the Prompt Payment Law.
		impact on local governments. Have your municipality's claim payment procedures been	
		reviewed by legal counsel and appropriate municipal staff to ensure compliance with the	
		Prompt Payment Law?	
		Has your municipality established a chain of command as required by N.J.A.C. 5:34-6.1(b)	Purchasing Manual to be developed which will
26	Prospective	to ensure appropriate individuals are in place to determine when emergency procurement	include the chain of command for emergency
	·	is necessary, and to ensure that the provisions for emergency purchasing set forth in	
		N.J.S.A. 40A:11-6 are properly implemented?	procurement.

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
		N.J.S.A. 40A:11-5 (a)(i) states that, if a municipality utilizes the professional services	
		exemption from the Local Public Contracts Law, "The governing body shall in each instance	
		state supporting reasons for its action in the resolution awarding each contract and shall	
		forthwith cause to be printed once, in the official newspaper, a brief notice stating the	
27	Yes	nature, duration, service and amount of the contract, and that the resolution and contract	
		are on file and available for public inspection in the office of the clerk of the []	
		municipality". With respect to the award of professional services contracts, is your	
		municipality complying with the above referenced provision of the Local Public Contracts	
		Law?	

Answer Budget Preparation and Presentation - BP  In preparing your annual budget it is important for both the governing body and public to understand the concept of surplus and how it accumulates (or declines) over the years. A formal policy regarding surplus serves as a basis for decisions concerning future financial solvency, and the lack of a policy could lead bond rating agencies to downgrade your municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
In preparation and Presentation - BP  In preparing your annual budget it is important for both the governing body and public to understand the concept of surplus and how it accumulates (or declines) over the years. A formal policy regarding surplus serves as a basis for decisions concerning future financial solvency, and the lack of a policy could lead bond rating agencies to downgrade your municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipality paratite policy goal for the amount of surplus available goals can then be determined.  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Yes  Ye	<u> </u>	Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
In preparing your annual budget it is important for both the governing body and public to understand the concept of surplus and how it accumulates (or declines) over the years. A formal policy regarding surplus serves as a basis for decisions concerning future financial solvency, and the lack of a policy could lead bond rating agencies to downgrade your municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue w	Answer		Comments
understand the concept of surplus and how it accumulates (or declines) over the years. A formal policy regarding surplus serves as a basis for decisions concerning future financial solvency, and the lack of a policy could lead bond rating agencies to downgrade your municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO, and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient fludsheld in reserve to satisf			
formal policy regarding surplus serves as a basis for decisions concerning future financial solvency, and the lack of a policy could lead bond rating agencies to downgrade your municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future year's budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordi			
solvency, and the lack of a policy could lead bond rating agencies to downgrade your municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future year's budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
Yes municipality's credit rating. In developing said surplus policy your CFO should analyze and explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections all factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
explain at least a five-year trend of surplus; illustrating the factors causing each annual increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
increase or decrease. A surplus policy with realistic and sustainable goals can then be determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in	Yes		
determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?  In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least <i>two</i> future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		determined. Does your municipality have a written policy goal for the amount of surplus	
decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		available in support of municipal operations, and is this goal evaluated annually?	
before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue, appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		In preparing your annual budget, it is important that the impact that current budgeting	
appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in	Yes	decisions may have on future years' budgets be presented, evaluated and considered	
solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		before the governing body takes final action. Long term plans concerning revenue,	
solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		appropriations, tax levy, tax levy cap and surplus are critical to sustaining (or achieving) a	
surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projections discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		solid fiscal condition. Are budget projections 1) factoring in the impact that the current	
discussed with the governing body?  Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		year's budget may have on the future tax levy (as restricted by the levy cap) and future	
Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		surplus balances for at least two future year's budgets, and 2) are these budget projection	<u>s</u>
service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		discussed with the governing body?	
service with respect to debt issued by counties, independent authorities or developers. Bond Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		Certain municipalities have indirectly pledged prompt payment (i.e. issued a guarantee) of debt	
Rating Agencies (e.g. Moody's, Fitch, Standard & Poor's) have downgraded certain municipalities' bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
N/A guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			
pledged against debt repayment monitored by the municipal CFO; and to the extent that cash flow from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in		bond ratings to below investment grade for lack of preparation in the event a lender calls in a debt	
from pledged revenue will not satisfy the debt repayment, are sufficient funds held in reserve to satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in	N/A	/A guarantee. If your municipality guarantees any debt, are direct service revenues that may be	The Township does not pledge prompt payment.
satisfy the guarantee or is an existing authorization in place to issue debt (e.g. a bond ordinance) in			- -
the event a lender calls in the guarantee?			<u>-</u>
		the event a lender calls in the guarantee?	
Do elected officials receive a <b>written</b> status report at least quarterly on all budget Monthly revenue and budget appropri	.,	Do elected officials receive a written status report at least quarterly on all budget	Monthly revenue and budget appropriation status
<u> </u>	Yes	<u></u>	reports are given to the governing body.

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
32	Yes	In developing your multi-year capital plan, is your municipality dedicating sufficient revenues to fund maintenance, repair and eventual replacement of infrastructure such as roads, storm sewers, sanitary sewers and water systems?	
33	Yes	N.J.S.A. 40A:4-5 requires that calendar year municipalities approve their introduced budgets no later than February 10 (or August 10 for state fiscal year municipalities) unless the Director sets forth a later date pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:4-5.1. Did your municipality approve its introduced current year budget no later than the date provided by law or as extended by the Director in Local Finance Notice 2014-21? This question may only be answered N/A if your municipality is under State Supervision.	Introduced at next regular meeting after the deadline on April 1, 2015.
34	No	N.J.S.A. 40A:4-10 requires that calendar year municipalities adopt their budgets no later than March 20 (or September 20 for state fiscal year municipalities), unless the Director sets forth a later date pursuant to N.J.S.A. 40A:4-5.1. Did your municipality adopt its current year budget no later than the date provided by law or as extended by the Director in Local Finance Notice 2014-21? This question may only be answered "N/A" if your municipality is under State Supervision, or delayed its budget adoption because it awaited a Division determination concerning a grant award or Transitional Aid award.	Adopted 6/3/15.
		Health Insurance - HI	
35	No	appointed officials who elect to take State Health Benefits Program (SHBP) health benefits (or receive a waiver for not doing so) by virtue of serving in their position	Maureen Francis, Tax Assessor (PT), receives medical, RX and dental. She contributes 20% toward those benefits. Renewed by the governing body on an annual basis by resolution.

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
		Does your municipality conduct a monthly review of health benefit covered lives itemized	
36	Yes	on health insurance invoices to determine that health insurance invoices do not include	
36	163	employees, former employees, spouses or dependents who should no longer be receiving	
		coverage?	
		Municipalities frequently contract with or designate insurance brokers to secure	
		healthcare coverage from insurance carriers. Brokers are typically paid by third-party	
		administrators (TPA's) hired to collect, review and pay healthcare bills. The municipality	
		pays the TPA, who in turn pays the broker. Broker fees are often directly related to the	
		amount of insurance premiums or fees paid by the municipality (i.e. the higher the	The Township belongs to the Central Jersey
37	N/A	premium, the larger the broker's commission). Thus, the municipality-broker-TPA	Health Insurance Fund. A broker fee, if any, is
		arrangement is vulnerable to abuse because brokers could face conflicting incentives in	paid by the HIF.
		seeking lower-cost insurance alternatives. If your municipality contracts with or otherwise	
		designates an insurance broker, is the structure for broker payments set at a flat-fee	
		rather than on a commission basis (so as to mitigate the risk of brokers recommending	
		more expensive insurance coverage to earn higher fees)? The State Health Benefits Program (SHBP) offers medical, prescription and dental coverage	
38		options for more than 850,000 participants, including employees, dependents and	
		retirees. All plans have substantial networks of healthcare providers, and provide services	
	Yes	nationwide. 62% of municipalities, and 33% of counties, within New Jersey participate in	
	100	SHBP. If your municipality has non-SHBP coverage, as your collective bargaining	
		agreements come up for renegotiation, do your municipality's negotiation proposals seek	
		contract provisions allowing its employees to be switched to SHBP or another non-SHBP	
		plan of lesser cost?	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
39	Yes	money by replacing the expensive cost of providing health care with the less expensive payment in lieu of health benefits. The need to pay officers and employees money to not take a health insurance benefit they can receive from another source has lessened, because the cost of premium sharing will cause officers and employees to drop coverage if	Opt-out of health insurance benefits has saved the Township money in 2015. Current ordinance permits the governing body to review the issue annually (at budget prep time) before adopting an opt-out resolution. The issue of ending payments in lieu of health benefits will be reviewed at a budget workshop meeting.

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality	
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers	
	Answer	Question	Comments
		Personnel - PE	
		The Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) is a federal law that establishes minimum wage,	
		overtime pay, recordkeeping, and child labor standards affecting full-time and part-time	
		workers in the private sector and in Federal, State, and local governments. The law	
	Yes	requires that overtime pay must be paid for all hours over 40 hours in a work week except	
		for those employees classified as exempt and thus not entitled to overtime. Management	
		employees such as elected officials, managers/administrators, municipal clerks, CFOs,	
		public works superintendents, police chiefs and other department heads are typically	
		classified as having exempt status and thus not entitled to overtime pay. Other municipal	
40		employees may also be classified as exempt under the FLSA (you should consult with labor	
		counsel for more detailed guidance). Does your municipality refrain from paying overtime	
		to employees who are classified as exempt under the FLSA? In answering this question,	
		be aware that exempt status would also preclude overtime pay for time worked during	
		emergencies, attendance at night meetings, participation in training sessions, and police	
		"off-duty" assignments (a/k/a "Jobs in Blue"). Also, please note that compensated leave	
		time in lieu of cash payments is considered to be a form of overtime pay unless such leave	
		is utilized in the same pay period.	
	Yes	N.J.S.A. 34:13A-8.2 requires public employers, including municipalities, to file with the	
		Public Employment Relations Commission (PERC) a copy of all contracts negotiated with	
		public employee representatives. This includes, but is not limited to, collective bargaining	
41		agreements, memoranda of understanding, contract amendments, and "side letter" or	
		"side bar" agreements. Copies of same may be emailed to <a href="mailto:contracts@perc.state.nj.us">contracts@perc.state.nj.us</a> . Has	
		your municipality filed all current contracts with PERC?	

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality		
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers		
	Answer	Question	Comments	
	Yes	Does your municipality make available to the public free of charge, either through an		
42		internet posting or on-site review, documents that show the current salaries of all		
		personnel?		
		Accurate records of employee time are critical not only for financial accountability, but		
		also effective management of your workforce. Is your municipality ensuring that 1)		
	Yes	employees complete and file standardized forms, either electronically or by paper, to		
		verify all employee time worked (e.g. time cards, electronic time keeping); 2) your		
43		personnel/human resources office maintains records accounting for all employee leave		
		time earned and used; and 3) supervisors are reviewing and approving/denying employee		
		time and attendance documentation before those records are submitted to management		
		and, in the case of department heads, is such documentation reviewed and verified		
		lindependently?		
44	Yes	Has your municipality instituted a policy to not compensate employees for sick leave		
44	162	accumulated after a certain date?		
45	No	Does your municipality have a transitional duty program (light duty) to encourage		
45	INO	employees out on workers compensation to return to work? The State Workers Compensation Law provides that, when an employee receives a work-		
	No	related injury producing temporary disability, the employee is entitiled to wage-		
		continuation equal to 70% of the employee's weekly wages, subject to a maximum	Police Officers receive 100% of their salary and	
46		compensation as determined by the Commissioner of Labor. Does your municipality limit	•	
46		benefits for work-related injuries to the above statutory benefit? The answer to this	the Township is reimbursed 70% through their	
		question can be "prospective" if such a provision was imposed by an arbitrator in binding	worker's compensation insurance (JIF).	
		arbitration but the municipality is seeking to eliminate such a contractual obligation		
		through collective bargaining.		

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality		
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers		
	Answer	Question	Comments	
	Yes	The weekly benefit rate provided under the State Temporary Disability Law for a non-work-		
		related injury is calculated on the basis of claimant's average weekly wage. Each claimant		
		is paid 2/3 of their average weekly wage up to the maximum amount payable, which is		
		\$604 for disabilities beginning on or after 1/1/15. Does your municipality refrain from		
47		supplementing the Temporary Disability benefit? The answer to this question can be		
		"prospective" if such a provision was imposed by an arbitrator in binding arbitration but		
		the municipality is seeking to eliminate such a contractual obligation through collective		
		bargaining. Only answer "N/A" if your municipality does not participate in the State		
		Temporary Disability Program.		
48	No	Has your municipality adopted an ordinance, resolution, regulation or policy eliminating		
70		longevity awards, bonuses or payments for non-union employees? For any employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement, has your municipality		
	Prospective			
		eliminated longevity awards, bonuses or payments for employees hired on or after a	Longevity for police officers was frozen for 3	
		specified date, and refrained from increasing longevity awards, bonuses or payments for	years (2014-2016) by the Arbitrator. Longevity	
49		employees hired before a specified date? The answer to this question can be	payments to be addressed in the next	
		"prospective" if such provisions were imposed by an arbitrator in binding arbitration but	negotiations.	
		the municipality is seeking to eliminate such a contractual obligation through collective		
		bargaining.		
	No	Employee personnel manuals or handbooks serve as a valuable tool to convey a		
		municipality's policies, procedures and benefits. Many insurance carriers encourage the		
		adoption of such a document and offer discounted rates for their use. These publications		
		should review employees' rights and obligations in areas ranging from discrimination,	Personnel ordinances exist and have been	
50		safety, violence, and harassment to vacation and sick days, holidays, use of township	updated recently, but the personnel manual	
		vehicles, smoking and political activity, among others. Has your municipality adopted or	needs to be updated.	
		updated an employee personnel manual/handbook by resolution or ordinance within the		
		last five years? If yes, please provide in the Comments section the date of the meeting		
		during which the personnel manual was adopted.		

		Click here, then click on arrow to choose municipality		
0000		Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers		
	Answer	Question	Comments	
	0	Select		
	36	Yes		
	6	No		
	5	N/A		
	3	Prospective		
	50	Total Answered:		
	44	Score (Yes + N/A + Prospective)		
	88%	Score %		
	0%	% Percent Withheld		
		Chief Administrative Officer's Certification		
		I hereby certify that the information provided in this Best Practices Inventory is accurate	Certification #(s)	
		to the best of my knowledge.	,	
		Name & Title	Date	
		Ronald Dancer, Business Administrator	10/7/2015	
		Chief Financial Officer's Certification		
		I hereby certify that the information provided in this Best Practices Inventory is accurate	Certification #(s)	
		to the best of my knowledge.	CFO #N-0568 QPA	
		Name	Date	
		June Madden, CFO/QPA	10/7/2015	
		Municipal Clerk's Certification		
		I hereby certify that the Governing Body of the Township of Plumsted in the County of		
		Ocean discussed the CY 2015/SFY 2016 Best Practice Inventory as		
		completed herein at a public meeting on October 7, 2015, with the Inventory results, and the		
		certification thereof by the Chief Administrative and Chief Financial Officers, respectively, to	Certification #(s)	
		be stated in the minutes of said public meeting.	RMC #C0878	
		Name	Date	
		Dorothy Hendrickson, RMC	10/7/2015	

			Click here, then cli	ck on arrow to choose municipality			
0000	Please see Color Key at bottom of sheet for limits on answers						
	Answer		<u> </u>	Comments			
		Red = Repeat Q	uestion; Prospective ans				
	Blue = Questions where neither "not applicable" nor "N/A" answers are permitted						
			questions where neithe				
		•	"; "No"; "Prospective" a				
			, ito , i rospective ui	The Tropy product are an permissione answers	1		
		stions scored	Amount of Aid				
		spective, or pplicable"	Disbursed	Impact on final 5% aid payment/impact on total aid			
	HOL a	41-50	100%	No penalty			
		33-40	80%	Lose 20% which equals 1% of total aid			
		25-32	60%	Lose 40% which equals 2% of total aid			
		17-24	40%	Lose 60% which equals 3% of total aid			
		9-16	20%	Lose 80% which equals 4% of total aid			
		0-8	0%	Lose 100% which equals 5% of total aid			
	Question	n Table of Weblinks					
	4	http://nj.gov/con	http://nj.gov/comptroller/news/docs/press_local_government_legal_fees.pdf				
	8 http://www.fema.gov/national-flood-insurance-program-community-rating-system						
	8	http://www.nj.gov/dep/floodcontrol/about.htm http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/lfns/07/2007-5.doc					
	13						
	20	http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/lfns/13/2013-3.pdf					
	21	http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/lfns/14/2014-09.pdf					
	23	http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/resources/muni_st_docs/pay_to_play_ordinance-contractor.doc					
	24		nttp://www.nj.gov/comptroller/compliance/index.html				
<u> </u>	25		http://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/lfns/06/2006-21.doc				
	33 & 34	http://www.ni.go	ttp://www.nj.gov/dca/divisions/dlgs/lfns/14/2014-21.pdf				